2007 Spring Cluster Leader Scoring Training Print Materials*

^{*}Please download and bring these materials with you to your cluster leader training session.

2007 Cluster Leader Scoring Training Print Materials

In this file, you will find these materials. Please print copies of the packet for use during your cluster leader training session.

- 1. Cluster Leader two-day training agenda
- 2. Six-hour agenda
- 3. Three-hour agenda
- 4. Reflection sheet
- 5. Double-entry journal
- 6. Chart—analytical vs. holistic scoring
- 7. Kentucky Writing Scoring Rubric (need 4 copies)
- 8. Four-box chart (Categories of Writing)
- 9. Score Report Form
- 10. Cluster Leader Paperwork/Duties
- 11. Cluster Leader and DAC responsibilities
- 12. Quality Control Procedures
- 13. Quality Control Record
- 14. Table Leader Procedures
- 15. Table Leader Record
- 16. Principal's Confirmation of Proper Quality Control Measures Form
- 17. Accumulation Form
- 18. Telecast Viewing Guide
- 19. Telecast air dates

Cluster Leader Scoring Training Agenda

DAY ONE

Welcome and overview of the 2-day session

- Distribute the agendas for 6-hour and 3-hour training
- Introduce use of **double-entry journal** during the training today

Discussion Rules (All referenced pages are in Part 2 of the Kentucky Writing Handbook)

- Discuss holistic versus analytical scoring (pg. 2) (view telecast)
- Review "Discussion Rules for Scorers" (pg. 14)
- Discuss objectivity issues/bias (pg. 9)

Scoring Tools

- Activity to review categories of writing and their characteristics (4-box handout)
- Activity to review criteria for poetry (12-13)
- Activity to review criteria for informative/technical (all grade levels) and analytical writing
 (12 grade only) (pg. 10-11, handout of criteria for analytical writing)
- Review scoring rubric using the anchor papers (rubric on pages 25-26) and introduce use of the score report form (pg. 9 - Appendix A)
 - CONTENT
 - Review the scoring rubric language (content only) (view telecast)
 - Read the anchor papers for CONTENT
 - Discuss the indicators in each cell
 - Score the CONTENT of <u>a piece</u> in the **first training** portfolio (literary or transactive)
 - Discuss the rationale for the CONTENT score of that piece

STRUCTURE

- Review the scoring rubric language (structure only) (view telecast)
- Read the anchor papers for STRUCTURE
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the STRUCTURE of the same piece in the training portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the STRUCTURE score of that piece

CONVENTIONS

- Review the scoring rubric language(conventions only) (view telecast)
- Read the anchor papers for CONVENTIONS
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the CONVENTIONS of the <u>same piece</u> in the **training** portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the CONVENTIONS score of that piece

Scoring Process

- Review the scoring process for a whole portfolio (use flowchart-pg. 3) (view telecast)
- Score the remainder of the pieces in the **training** portfolio using the process outlined in the flowchart (use the same score report form from above)
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the training portfolio
- Score the **first practice** portfolio
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the portfolio
- Additional scoring concerns
 - Complete and incomplete portfolios (pages 18-20)
 - Alerts (pages 16-17 and page 11 in Appendix A)

EXIT SLIP (participants record questions from double entry journal related to today's session)

DAY TWO

- Address questions from participant's **exit slips** (double entry journal)
- Score **second training portfolio** using the procedure from day one (small and large group discussion)
- Score **second practice portfolio** (small and large group discussion)
- Discuss scoring paperwork/duties (checklist) for cluster leader/scoring facilitator (view telecast)
- Discuss ways to structure scoring sessions (pages 4-8 Be sure to address clarification
 of what is meant by "classroom teacher primarily responsible for overseeing completion
 of a portfolio," the specifics of double-blind scoring, and "controlled setting.") (view
 telecast)
- Review "Code of Ethics for Writing Portfolios" (pg. 15)
- Review use of quality control portfolios during actual scoring at the school level (pg. 7, handouts: Quality Control Procedures, Quality Control Record, Principal's Quality Control Portfolios Confirmation Sheet) (view telecast)
- Review use of table leader read-behinds (pg. 7, handouts: Table Leader Read-Behind Procedures, Table Leader Record)
- Discuss use of analysis form on (pg. 10 in Appendix A), Score Report Form, and Portfolio Scoring Accumulation Form (view telecast)
- Discuss finding non-adjacent scores (view telecast)
- Discuss who to contact if issues arise during scoring sessions (point out Appendix B: "Frequently Asked Questions about Scoring")
- Review sample agenda for 3-hour training

Reflection Form

Portfolio Scoring Training 6- hour Agenda

Attendance Paperwork

<u>Discussion Rules</u> (All referenced pages are in Part 2 of the *Kentucky Writing Handbook*)

- Discuss holistic versus analytical scoring (pg.2)
- Review "Discussion Rules for Scorers" (pg. 14)
- Discuss objectivity issues/bias (pg. 9)

Scoring Tools

- Review categories of writing and their characteristics (handout)
- Review how to apply the criteria to poetry and analytical/technical writing (pg. 10-13)
- Review scoring rubric using the anchor papers (rubric on pages 25-26) and introduce use of the score report form (pg. 9 in Appendix A)
 - CONTENT
 - Review the scoring rubric language (content only)
 - Read the anchor papers for CONTENT
 - Discuss the indicators in each cell
 - Score the CONTENT of <u>a piece</u> in the **first training** portfolio (literary or transactive)
 - Discuss the rationale for the CONTENT score of that piece

STRUCTURE

- Review the scoring rubric language (structure only)
- Read the anchor papers for STRUCTURE
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the STRUCTURE of the same piece in the training portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the STRUCTURE score of that piece

CONVENTIONS

- Review the scoring rubric language(conventions only)
- Read the anchor papers for CONVENTIONS
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the CONVENTIONS of the <u>same piece</u> in the **training** portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the CONVENTIONS score of that piece

Scoring Process

- Review the scoring process for a whole portfolio (use flowchart-pg. 3)
- Score the remainder of the pieces in the **training** portfolio using the process outlined in the flowchart (use the same score report form from above)
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the training portfolio
- Score the **first practice** portfolio
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the portfolio

Scoring Concerns

- Complete and incomplete portfolios (pages 18-20)
- Alerts (pages 16-17 and page 11 in Appendix A)

Extra Practice

- Score **second training portfolio** as needed
- Score second practice portfolio as needed

Portfolio Scoring Training 3- hour Agenda 3- hour Training; 3- hour Preparation

Attendance Paperwork

All the bullets under the Discussion Rules must be reviewed and discussed on the day of the scoring and is not included in the 3- hour training.

<u>Discussion Rules</u> (All referenced pages are in Part 2 of the Kentucky Writing Handbook)

- Discuss holistic versus analytical scoring (pg.2)
- Review "Discussion Rules for Scorers" (pg. 14)
- Discuss objectivity issues/bias (pg. 9)

Scoring Tools

- Activity to review categories of writing and their characteristics (4-box handout)
- Activity to review criteria for poetry
- Activity to review criteria for informative/technical (all grade levels) and analytical writing (12 grade only) (pg. 10-13)
- Review scoring rubric using the anchor papers (rubric on pages 25-26) and introduce use of the score report form (pg. 9 - Appendix A)
 - CONTENT
 - Review the scoring rubric language (content only)
 - Read the anchor papers for CONTENT
 - Discuss the indicators in each cell
 - Score the CONTENT of <u>a piece</u> in the **first training** portfolio (literary or transactive)
 - Discuss the rationale for the CONTENT score of that piece

o STRUCTURE

- Review the scoring rubric language (structure only)
- Read the anchor papers for STRUCTURE
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the STRUCTURE of the same piece in the training portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the STRUCTURE score of that piece

CONVENTIONS

- Review the scoring rubric language (conventions only)
- Read the anchor papers for CONVENTIONS
- Discuss the indicators in each cell
- Score the CONVENTIONS of the same piece in the training portfolio
- Discuss the rationale for the CONVENTIONS score of that piece

Scoring Process

- Review the scoring process for a whole portfolio (use flowchart-pg. 3)
- Score the remainder of the pieces in the **training** portfolio using the process outlined in the flowchart (use the same score report form from above)
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the training portfolio
- Score the **first practice** portfolio
- Review and discuss the rationale for the pieces in the portfolio

All the bullets under the Scoring Concerns must be reviewed and discussed on the day of the scoring and is not included in the 3- hour training.

Scoring Concerns

- o Complete and incomplete portfolios (pages 18-20)
- Alerts (pages 16-17 and page 11 Appendix A)

Extra Practice

- Score **second training portfolio** as needed
- Score second practice portfolio as needed

The 3- hour preparation will include viewing the telecast, reading the anchor papers(with reference to the scoring rubric), and reading the training and practice portfolios used in the 6- hour agenda. The scorer must complete and return the reflective handout with all questions answered. The responses written on the handout should be addressed during the 3-hour training.

In order to receive the 6 hours for professional development, the scorer must complete the reflective handout and return it to the cluster leader. However, it is a district decision on the number of hours of professional development that they will grant.

Portfolio Scoring Training

3- hour Preparation Reflection Sheet

In order to receive the 6 hours for professional development, the scorer must complete the guiding questions on this handout and return it to the cluster leader. However, it is a district decision on how many hours of professional development they will grant.

The 3- hour preparation includes viewing the telecast, reading the anchor papers (Scorers must review the indicators in each cell on the scoring rubric before reading an anchor paper for that cell.), and reading the training and practice portfolios used in the 6- hour agenda. The scorer must complete and return the reflective handout with all questions answered. The responses written on the handout will be addressed during the 3-hour training.

Question #1

After viewing this telecast, which subdomain (content, structure, conventions) do you still have questions or concerns about? Please list your questions.

Question #2

After reading all anchor papers and reviewing the indicators in each cell on the scoring rubric, what language in the indicators do you still need clarified?

Question #3

After reading the training portfolio, which category of writing(reflective, personal expressive/literary, transactive) do you still have questions about? What are those questions?

Question #4

After reading the practice portfolio, which category of writing(reflective, personal expressive/literary, transactive) do you still have questions about? What are those questions?

Double- Entry Journal

Name:						

Scoring	Analytical	Holistic
Components	Scoring	Scoring
Procedure	 Read each piece in the portfolio Assign a number score for each subdomain (content, structure, conventions) Have the portfolio go through a doubleblind scoring (third reader if needed) Calculate a composite score for the whole portfolio (average of all the readers' scores) and then assign a performance level (N, A, P, D) based on the cut scores table 	 Read the whole portfolio Different scoring options allowed for a variety in the number of scorers (1-5 scorers) Determine a performance level through resolution discussion (N, A, P, D)
Tools	Kentucky Scoring Rubric ✓ Anchor Papers	 Kentucky Holistic Guide ✓ Benchmark (pieces and portfolios) ✓ Exemplar portfolios ✓ High-end portfolios
Criteria	purpose, audience, idea development, organization, sentence, language, correctness	purpose, audience, idea development, organization, sentence, language, correctness
Training	6-hour training recommended 3-hour training mandated	6-hour training recommended 3-hour training mandated
Reporting	Score Report Form (scorer)	 School data sheet (cluster leader/ BAC)
Scores	 Accumulation Form (scoring facilitator) Data Entry Computer Application (DAC/district personnel) Upload to KDE secure site (DAC) 	 District data sheet (DAC) Bubbling in test booklet forms (cluster leader/scoring team) Returning test forms/booklets to DAC (BAC) Returning test forms/booklets to KDE (DAC)

Kentucky Writing Scoring Rubric

0		1 2			3		4		
					CONTENT				
			Pu	rpos	e and Audience; Idea Developm	ent a	nd Support		
The	e writing:	The	e writing:	The	writing:		writing:	The	e writing:
	Lacks purpose		Attempts to establish a		Attempts to establish and		Establishes and maintains an		Establishes and maintains an
			general purpose; lacks		maintain a narrowed purpose;		authentic focused purpose		authentic and insightful
			focus		some lapses in focus		throughout		focused purpose throughout
	Lacks awareness		Indicates limited		Indicates some awareness of		Indicates an awareness of		Indicates a strong awareness
-	of audience		awareness of audience's		audience's needs; makes some		audience's needs:		of audience's needs;
	or addressee		needs		attempt to communicate with an		communicates adequately with		communicates effectively
			1100 415		audience; may demonstrate some		audience; conveys voice and/or		with audience; sustains
					voice and/or tone		appropriate tone		distinctive voice and/or
							11 1		appropriate tone
	Lacks idea		Demonstrates limited idea		Demonstrates some idea		Demonstrates depth of idea		Demonstrates reflective,
	development; may		development with few		development with details/support;		development with specific,		analytical and/or insightful
	provide random		details and/or weak		support may be unelaborated,		sufficient details/support;		idea development; provides
	details		support; may attempt to		irrelevant and/or repetitious; may		applies characteristics of the		specific, thorough support;
			apply some characteristics		apply some characteristics of the		genre		skillfully applies
	•		of the genre		genre				characteristics of the genre
	0		I		2		3		4
			0		STRUCTURE		4		
721.		Tri.			unity and coherence; Sentence		<u> </u>	TI.	
	e writing: Demonstrates		e writing: Demonstrates ineffective		writing: Demonstrates logical organization		writing: Demonstrates logical, coherent		e writing: Demonstrates careful and/or
	random		or weak organization		with lapses in coherence		organization		subtle organization that
	organization		or weak organization		with lapses in concrene		organization		enhances the purpose
	Lacks transitional		Demonstrates limited		Demonstrates some effective		Demonstrates logical, effective		Demonstrates varied and
	elements		and/or ineffective		transitional elements		transitional elements		subtle transitional elements
			transitional elements				throughout		throughout
	Demonstrates	_			Demonstrates simple sentences;	_	_	_	
	incorrect sentence		Demonstrates some		may attempt more complex		Demonstrates control and		Demonstrates control,
	structure		ineffective or incorrect		sentences but lacks control of		variety in sentence structure		variety and complexity in
	throughout		sentence structure		sentence structure				sentence structure to enhance
	0		1		2		3		meaning 4
	<u> </u>		1	l	CONVENTIONS			l	•
	Language:	gra	mmar and usage, word cl	hoice	; Correctness: spelling, punctu	ation	. capitalization. abbreviation	and	documentation
			e writing:		writing:		writing:		e writing:
			Demonstrates lack of		Demonstrates some control of		Demonstrates control of		Demonstrates control of
			control in grammar and		grammar and usage with some		grammar and usage relative to		grammar and usage to
			usage		errors that do not interfere with		length and complexity		enhance meaning
					communication				
			Demonstrates incorrect or		Demonstrates simplistic and/or		Demonstrates acceptable word		Demonstrates accurate, rich
			ineffective word choice		imprecise word choice		choice appropriate for audience		and/or precise word choice
			mencente word entree		imprecise word endice		and purpose		appropriate for audience and
							L 2-L 2-2		purpose
			Demonstrates lack of		Demonstrates some control of		Demonstrates control of		Demonstrates control of
			control in correctness		correctness with some errors that		correctness relative to length		correctness to enhance
					do not interfere with		and complexity		communication
		1		1	communication	1		1	

Kentucky Writing Scoring Rubric

	Scoring Criteria
Purpose/Au	lience : The degree to which the writer maintains a focused purpose to
	with an audience by
	Narrowing the topic to establish a focus
	Analyzing and addressing the needs of the intended audience
	Adhering to the characteristics of the form (e.g., format, organization)
	Employing a suitable tone
	Allowing a voice to emerge when appropriate
Idea Develor	oment/Support: The degree to which the writer develops and supports main
	pens the audience's understanding by using
	Logical, justified and suitable explanation
	Relevant elaboration
	Related connections and reflections
	Idea development strategies appropriate for the form (e.g., bulleted lists, definitions)
Organization	n: The degree to which the writer creates unity and coherence to accomplish
the focused p	
	Engaging the audience and establishing a context for reading
	Placing ideas and support in a meaningful order
	Guiding the reader through the piece with transitions and transitional elements
	Providing effective closures
Sentences:	The degree to which the writer creates effective sentences that are
	Varied in structure and length
	Constructed effectively
	Complete and correct
Language:	The degree to which the writer demonstrates
	Word choice
	Strong verbs and nouns
	Concrete and/or sensory details
	 Language appropriate to the content, purpose and audience
	Concise use of language
	Correct grammar/usage
Correctness:	The degree to which the writer demonstrates
	Correct spelling, punctuation and capitalization
	Appropriate documentation of ideas and information from outside sources
	(e.g., citing authors or titles within the text, listing sources)

Complete/Incomplete Portfolios

A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain

- ☐ A table of contents page* which indicates the following:
 - Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary, transactive, transactive with analytical or technical focus [12th grade only])
 - Required number of pieces in each category
 - o 4th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category)
 - o 7th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category)
 - o 12th grade—4 pieces (1 in each category)
 - Required number of content pieces identified by content area class
 - 4th grade—no content piece is required to be identified
 - o 7th and 12th—one content piece other than English/language arts identified by content area class
- ☐ A signed Student Signature Sheet

A portfolio is also incomplete if any piece

- is proven to be **plagiarized**
- is different from those listed in the Table of Contents
- is written in a language other than English
- demonstrates only computational skills
- consists of only diagrams or drawings
- represents a group entry

*Use of the Table of Contents page in the Kentucky Writing Handbook is recommended.

If a portfolio contains too many pieces, remove the first piece that may be removed without making the portfolio incomplete. Repeat this process until the portfolio contains the correct total number of pieces, the correct number of content pieces, and the correct number of pieces in each category.

CATEGORIES OF WRITING

REFLECTIVE PERSONAL/EXPRESSIVE

An analysis and evaluation of personal progress in writing through literacy

The writing...

- Contemplates his/her literacy experience
- Analyzes own strengths and areas of growth in writing
- Allows the content to determine the form and audience
- Analyzes and addresses needs of the intended audience
- Speaks directly to the audience
- Develops the connection between growth as a reader and skills as a writer
- Analyzes the connections
- Supports claims with personal experience about self through insight
- Organizes the connections logically, effectively, using paragraphing, transitions, a variety of sentences, etc.
- Uses grammar and word choice that is appropriate for purpose and audience

Narrative-focuses on a significant single event

Memoir-focuses on the significance of a relationship with an individual person, place, animal, or thing

Essay-focuses on a central idea about the writer or the writer's life

The writing...

- Establishes the significance of one event, relationship, or central idea
- Communicates the significance (impact) and/or leaves the reader with a single impression
- Develops ideas by using relevant/specific details from personal experiences
- Shows emotions, thoughts and/or insight through descriptions as appropriate
- Uses dialogue as appropriate
- Uses grammar and word choice that is appropriate for purpose and audience

Reader	1	2	3	(circle one)
Rea)			

SSID #		Reader	ID	Grade Level: 4 7 12 Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s) - [MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain 1. A table of contents page which indicates the following: • Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary,		
	each piece, record your score for check the "Content Area" line		nucture, conventions) in the boxes tent (if applicable).			
Reflective Content area	Personal/Literary Content area	Transactive Content area	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area			
Content	Content	Content	Content	transactive, transactive with analytical		
Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	or technical focus [12 th grade only]) • Required number of pieces in each category • 4 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each		
Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	category) o 7 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category) o 12 th grade—4 pieces (1 in each		
Structure	Structure	Structure	Structure	category)		
Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	2. A signed Student Signature Sheet [MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class • 4 th grade—no content piece is required to be identified		
Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	o 7 th and 12 th —one content piece		
Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	other than English/language arts identified by content area class [PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized		
Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	 is different from those listed in the Table of Contents 		
district with testing m	for portfolios will be calcu aterials. A designated dist culate composite scores.		eadsheet provided to each for recording the data into	 is written in a language other than English demonstrates only computational skills consists of only diagrams or drawings represents a group entry 		

Reader	1	2	3	(circle one)
Rea)			

SSID #		Reader	ID	Grade Level: 4 7 12 Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s) - [MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain 1. A table of contents page which indicates the following: • Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary,		
	each piece, record your score for check the "Content Area" line		nucture, conventions) in the boxes tent (if applicable).			
Reflective Content area	Personal/Literary Content area	Transactive Content area	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area			
Content	Content	Content	Content	transactive, transactive with analytical		
Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	or technical focus [12 th grade only]) • Required number of pieces in each category • 4 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each		
Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	category) o 7 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category) o 12 th grade—4 pieces (1 in each		
Structure	Structure	Structure	Structure	category)		
Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	2. A signed Student Signature Sheet [MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class • 4 th grade—no content piece is required to be identified		
Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	o 7 th and 12 th —one content piece		
Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	other than English/language arts identified by content area class [PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized		
Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	 is different from those listed in the Table of Contents 		
district with testing m	for portfolios will be calcu aterials. A designated dist culate composite scores.		eadsheet provided to each for recording the data into	 is written in a language other than English demonstrates only computational skills consists of only diagrams or drawings represents a group entry 		

Reader	1	2	3	(circle one)
Rea)			

SSID #		Reader	ID	Grade Level: 4 7 12 Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s) - [MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain 1. A table of contents page which indicates the following: • Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary,		
	each piece, record your score for check the "Content Area" line		nucture, conventions) in the boxes tent (if applicable).			
Reflective Content area	Personal/Literary Content area	Transactive Content area	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area			
Content	Content	Content	Content	transactive, transactive with analytical		
Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	or technical focus [12 th grade only]) • Required number of pieces in each category • 4 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each		
Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	category) o 7 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category) o 12 th grade—4 pieces (1 in each		
Structure	Structure	Structure	Structure	category)		
Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	2. A signed Student Signature Sheet [MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class • 4 th grade—no content piece is required to be identified		
Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	o 7 th and 12 th —one content piece		
Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	other than English/language arts identified by content area class [PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized		
Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	 is different from those listed in the Table of Contents 		
district with testing m	for portfolios will be calcu aterials. A designated dist culate composite scores.		eadsheet provided to each for recording the data into	 is written in a language other than English demonstrates only computational skills consists of only diagrams or drawings represents a group entry 		

Reader	1	2	3	(circle one)
Rea)			

SSID #		Reader	ID	Grade Level: 4 7 12 Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s) - [MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain 1. A table of contents page which indicates the following: • Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary,		
	each piece, record your score for check the "Content Area" line		nucture, conventions) in the boxes tent (if applicable).			
Reflective Content area	Personal/Literary Content area	Transactive Content area	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area			
Content	Content	Content	Content	transactive, transactive with analytical		
Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	or technical focus [12 th grade only]) • Required number of pieces in each category • 4 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each		
Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	category) o 7 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category) o 12 th grade—4 pieces (1 in each		
Structure	Structure	Structure	Structure	category)		
Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	2. A signed Student Signature Sheet [MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class • 4 th grade—no content piece is required to be identified		
Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	o 7 th and 12 th —one content piece		
Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	other than English/language arts identified by content area class [PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized		
Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	 is different from those listed in the Table of Contents 		
district with testing m	for portfolios will be calcu aterials. A designated dist culate composite scores.		eadsheet provided to each for recording the data into	 is written in a language other than English demonstrates only computational skills consists of only diagrams or drawings represents a group entry 		

Reader	1	2	3	(circle one)
Rea	de	r II)	

SSID #		Reader	ID	Grade Level: 4 7 12		
	each piece, record your score for check the "Content Area" line		nucture, conventions) in the boxes tent (if applicable).	Incomplete Portfolios, circle item(s)		
Reflective Content area	Personal/Literary Content area	Transactive Content area	Transactive with an analytical or technical focus (12 th only) Content area	 [MISSING PIECES] A portfolio is incomplete if it does not contain 1. A table of contents page which indicates the following: Required writing in each category (reflective, personal or literary, 		
Content	Content	Content	Content	transactive, transactive with analytical		
Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	Purpose Audience Idea Dev	or technical focus [12 th grade only]) • Required number of pieces in each category • 4 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each		
Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	Most frequent score (0-4)	category) o 7 th grade—3 pieces (1 in each category) o 12 th grade—4 pieces (1 in each		
Structure	Structure	Structure	Structure	category)		
Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	Organization Transitions Sentence Structure Most frequent score (0-4)	2. A signed Student Signature Sheet [MISSING CONTENT AREA REQUIREMENT] Required number of content pieces identified by content area class • 4 th grade—no content piece is required to be identified		
Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	Conventions	o 7 th and 12 th —one content piece		
Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	Grammar Word Choice Correctness	other than English/language arts identified by content area class [PLAGIARISM] is proven to be plagiarized		
Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	Most frequent score (1-4)	 is different from those listed in the Table of Contents 		
district with testing m	for portfolios will be calcu aterials. A designated dist culate composite scores.		eadsheet provided to each for recording the data into	 is written in a language other than English demonstrates only computational skills consists of only diagrams or drawings represents a group entry 		

CLUSTER LEADER PAPERWORK/DUTIES

\$	SCORING TRAINING		SCORING SESSION
0	Score form (4xs per scorer)	0	Score form (3xs per portfolio)
0	Rubric (1 per scorer)	0	Rubric (multiple copies based
0	Anchor Papers (1 copy of full		on scorer's needs)
	set per scorer)	0	Anchor Papers (1 copy of full
0	Applying the Criteria of		set per scorer)
	Effective Real-World Writing to	0	Applying the Criteria of
	Informative and Technical		Effective Real-World Writing to
	Writing (1 copy per scorer)		Informative and Technical
0	Applying the Criteria of		Writing (1 per scorer
	Effective Writing to Poetry	0	Applying the Criteria of
0	2 Training Portfolios (1 copy		Effective Writing to Poetry (1
	per scorer)		per scorer)
0	2 Practice Portfolios (1 copy per	0	Portfolio Scoring Accumulation
	scorer)		form (at least 1 copy per # of
0	Post-It Notes		portfolios)
0	PowerPoint	0	3 Quality Control Portfolios (1
0	LCD		copy of each per scorer)
0	VCR	0	Quality Control Portfolio
0	TV		Procedures (1 for cluster
0	KDE Scoring Telecast/Video		leader/facilitator)
		0	Quality Control Record (1 per scorer)
		0	Table Leader Read-Behind
			Procedures (1 for cluster
			leader/facilitator) (optional)
		0	Table Leader Read-Behind
			Record (1 per scorer) (optional)
		0	SSID numbers from DAC (1 for
			cluster leader/facilitator)
		0	Quality Control Steps (1 for
			cluster leader/facilitator)
		0	Post-It Notes

Cluster Leader/Facilitator's Duties

- o Contact BAC/DAC for SSID numbers and labels and Quality Control Portfolios at least a week before scoring
- o Have appropriate grade level teachers to put SSID labels on portfolios and complete students' information on the Portfolio Scoring Accumulation form
- o Train scorers
- o Facilitate scoring session
- Have appropriate number of copies of materials needed for both sessions made

CLUSTER LEADERS/DACS PAPERWORK/DUTIES SCORING WRITING PORTFOLIOS

CLUSTER LEADER	S DACS
Will have the appropriate numb	er of forms o Communicate with
below copied	BACS/Cluster Leaders for
o Score form (3xs per portfol	scoring dates
o Rubric (2xs per scorer)	
o Anchor Papers (1 copy of fi scorer)	SSID numbers and labels at
o Applying the Criteria of Eff	
Real-World Writing to Info	
and Technical Writing (1 pe	er scorer)
o Applying the Criteria of Eff	fective Quality Control Portionos (may
Writing to Poetry (1 per sec	orer) make needed # of copies if
 Portfolio Scoring Accumula 	
(at least one copy for each p	
o 3 Quality Control Portfolio	o Select designee(s) other than
each per scorer)	aluster lander for entering
 Quality Control Record for cluster leader/scoring facilit 	. 1 . (6 1 1:
o Quality Control Steps (1 for	autor)
leader/facilitator	separately for different schools
o Principal's Quality Control	Portfolio at district level location, but
Confirmation sheet	at district level location, but
o Post-It Notes	must for merge files into one)
04 1 2	Collect Portfolio Scoring A compulation forms from
Other duties	Accumulation forms from
o Contact DAC at least a wee scoring for SSID numbers a	11 1 1
o Have appropriate grade leve	ol teachers
to put SSID labels on portfo	olios and OI data
complete students' informa	tion on the OUpload Date Files to OAA
Portfolio Scoring Accumula	
o Contact DAC at least a wee	110001110110111111111111111111111111111
scoring for Quality Control	
Have KDE Scoring video roTrain scorers	Portfolios, Quality Control
Train scorersFacilitate scoring session	Record and Principal's Quality
o Return all copies of Quality	
Portfolios to DAC	sheet from Cluster Leaders and
o Return Portfolio Scoring	store in secured place
Accumulation forms to DA	Notify Cluster Leaders of audit
o Return Quality Control Rec	ord to selection
DAC	
 Store scored portfolios alon Score Report forms in secur 	
Score Report forms in secu	8
	contractor
	o Share audit reports with schools
	These duties have Office of Assessment
	and Accountability approval.

2007

OUALITY CONTROL PORTFOLIO PROCEDURES

Quality Control Portfolios are **secure** portfolios that have preassigned scores by the Scoring Accuracy Assurance Team. These are used to bring scorers back to the language of the rubric in any subdomain during the scoring of portfolios. The use of the Quality Control Portfolios is **mandated** by the Kentucky Department of Education.

General Directions

- Schools should reproduce enough copies of the Quality Control Portfolios so that all scorers will be able to read and score them at the same time, allowing for a short discussion of the portfolios and the scoring criteria and rationale when all scores have been turned in. The elementary and middle school will have three Quality Control Portfolios and the high school will have four. This means that the Cluster Leader/Scoring Facilitator will have nine (elem. and middle) and 12 (high school) Quality Control Portfolio pieces to use for the quality control procedure. Cluster Leaders must designate 1 whole portfolio (three pieces for elem. and middle, four pieces for high school) that will be used with the whole group.
- The use of the Quality Control Portfolio piece **must** take place after the initial recalibration at the beginning of each scoring session and either after a long break or after lunch again. In addition, schools with proven accuracy use the quality control procedure mid-morning and mid-afternoon in an all-day scoring session.
- Scores from the quality control procedure must be recorded **each** time on the Quality Control Record and sent to the DACS. Cluster Leaders should keep a copy of the record as well
- Besides using the mandated Quality Control Portfolio Procedures during the scoring of the portfolios, the use of table leaders may be initiated. This has proven beneficial to larger schools.

Steps

- 1. **One piece** (example-reflective) from a Quality Control Portfolio will be used after initial recalibration at the **beginning of each** scoring session. The subdomain scores (content, structure, conventions) must be **exact or adjacent**.
- 2. The Cluster Leader/Scoring Facilitator on the Quality Control Portfolio Record will record the scorer's scores.
- 3. Discussion will follow using the rationale and rubric.
- 4. If everyone scores the piece from the Quality Control with exact or adjacent subdomain scores, scoring of the live portfolios may begin.
- 5. If any scorer has one or more of the subdomains' scores nonadjacent (two or more points) in the piece (example-content from the reflective), then they will need to discuss with the Cluster Leader/Scoring Facilitator the rubric and the Anchor Papers from the subdomain that was nonadjacent. A second piece (example-literary) from the Quality Control Portfolio will be scored by the scorer. His/her scores will be recorded on the Quality Control Record. Scorers may begin scoring if Quality Control piece is exact or adjacent in each subdomain.
- 6. The scoring director can monitor the scoring of team members that had nonadjacent scores of the Quality Control Portfolio piece by having them to read another Quality Control piece after scoring at least five portfolios. Record the subdomain scores on the Quality Control Portfolio Record. If any of the subdomain scores are non-adjacent from that piece, discuss the rationale, score, and Anchor Paper of the nonadjacent subdomain. Have the scorer to read one more Quality Control Portfolio piece. Record the subdomain scores. Scorers may continue scoring if Quality Control piece is exact or adjacent in each subdomain.

All copies of the Quality Control Portfolios and the Quality Control Portfolio Record are to be sent back to the DACS after scoring session ends, to be stored with other secure assessment materials.

QUALITY CONTROL PORTFOLIOS RECORD DATE OF SCORING SESSION____

Q. C. Number	Piece Name	Category	Scorer's Scores/ True Scores Difference Content Structure Conventions Content Structure Conventions

2007

TABLE LEADER READ-BEHIND PROCEDURES

Besides using the mandated Quality Control Portfolio Procedures during the scoring of portfolios, another quality control component **may** be added with the use of table leaders. This is especially beneficial to large districts.

General Instructions

- Table leaders are chosen from the most experienced and accurate scorers to "read behind" the scorers at their tables (4-5 scorers per table).
- Cluster Leaders will direct table leaders to read at least 1 piece from every one or two portfolios of the scorers to ensure consistency. Two of the three subdomain scores must be exact or adjacent with the table leader's scores.
- The table leader should choose the piece for "read-behinds" **at random** from each scorer's finished stack and should provide a "**blind**" **read/scoring**. The readbehinds function as a quality control measure.
- If the table leader's score is nonadjacent in any subdomains with the scorer's score, the table leader will discuss and clarify the score using the rubric with the reader, outside the scoring area. It is important to note that this table leader's score is not one of the two required scores for accountability purposes. The table leader's score is a quality control measure.
- The table leader will monitor the scorer by reading another piece after the scorer has scored another portfolio. If the table leader disagrees with subdomain scores of the scorer again, the Cluster Leader will discuss the rubric and Anchor Papers that pertain to the nonadjacent scores of the scorer. A Quality Control Portfolio piece will be scored by the scorer. The score will be recorded on the Quality Control Record. The scorer may continue scoring if subdomain scores are exact or adjacent. If nonadjacent scores occur, measures may be taken to assign the scorer to other duties in the scoring room.
- Table leaders should keep records of all original and read-behind scores on the Table Leader Read-Behind Record. The record will be kept by the Cluster Leader for future reference.

TABLE LEADER READ-BEHIND RECORD DATE OF SCORING SESSION_____

Table Leader's Name	
Scorer's Name	

Portfolio Number (SSID)	Category	Scorer's Scores/ Table Leader's Scores Difference Content Structure Conventions Content Structure Convention
(2.222)	- swiges,	

Commonwealth Accountability Testing System PRINCIPAL'S CONFIRMATION OF PROPER QUALITY CONTROL MEASURES FOR THE SCORING OF WRITING PORTFOLIOS

School	Name:	School Code:
District	Name:	District Code:
I certif	fy that	
•		delivered to all scorers and documented. ers' signatures are on file with the Writing
•	C 1	ed after the scoring training was completed signatures are on file with the Writing
•	Writing Portfolios were scored using of session.	double-blind scoring during the scoring
•	The Quality Control Portfolio Procedu session. The Quality Control Portfolio Assessment Coordinator.	Records are on file with the District
•	All Quality Control Portfolios were re Coordinator.	turned to the District Assessment
•		riting portfolios read and signed the Code mentation and copies of scorer's signatures ent Coordinator/District Assessment
•	I have informed the District Assessme procedures.	nt Coordinator of any inappropriate scoring
	pal's Signature: iigned:	

Deliver this form to your District Assessment Coordinator or Building Assessment Coordinator.

	Portiono	Scoring Accumulation Sneet				
				Rd 1 ID	Rd 2 ID	RD 3 ID
* L a	State Student ID:					
b e			i			
ĭ				Reflective	•	•
н	Last Name:		_	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
e r e *			Content			
	MI:		Structure			
	Date of Birth	MM DD YYYY	Conventions			
	ĺ		1	ID 1 / 1 *	4	
	1	4 / 7 / 12		Personal / Li		
	Out de l'accele		_	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
	Grade Level:		Content			
	Tested District		Structure			
	Tested School		Conventions			
	Accountable				•	•
	District			Transactive		
	•			Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
	Accountable School		Content			
		Incomplete Reasons (Check all that apply) Rd1 Rd2 Rd3 Missing Pieces	Structure			
	Missing Con	tent Area Requirement Plagiarism Other	Conventions			
		-				
	Exclusions:	(Check all that apply)	_	Transactive	w/Anal. Or Te	ch.
	Foreign Exch	_	only	Reader 1	Reader 2	Reader 3
	Expelled and Enrolled in K	n AP per KDE policy not receiving services / public schools or districts for less	Content			
	language inst	nas not been in an English ructional environment for at least	Structure			
	two full schoo	l years	Conventions			

2007 Kentucky Writing Portfolio Scoring Telecast Viewing Guide Segment One—for Cluster Leaders and Scoring Teams

Introduction
Differences in Analytical vs. Holistic Scoring
Review of Kentucky Writing Scoring Rubric
Language of the Scoring Rubric
o Content
o Structure
o Conventions
Modeling: Scoring a Portfolio

2007 Kentucky Writing Portfolio Scoring Telecast Viewing Guide Segment Two—for Cluster Leaders

Introduction
Responsibilities of Cluster Leaders during scoring training
Responsibilities of Cluster Leaders during scoring sessions
Structuring a Scoring Session
Use of Quality Control Portfolios
The Use of Forms
o Analysis form
o Score Report Form
o Accumulation Form
Modeling: Determining a Third Reader

2007 Kentucky Writing Portfolios Scoring Telecast Airing Dates KET 3

Select one of the airing dates and have the telecast taped.

Thursday, February 22 at 4:00 ET/3:00 pm CT (1 hour)

Friday, February 23 at 4:00 ET/3:00 am CT (1 hour) EARLY MORNING

Tuesday, February 27 at 5:30 ET/4:30 pm CT (1 hour)

Wednesday, February 28 at 2:30 ET/1:30 pm CT (1 hour)

Thursday, March 1 at 4:00 ET/3:00 pm CT (1 hour)

Tuesday, March 6 at 4:00 ET/3:00 pm CT (1 hour)

Wednesday, March 7 at 5:00 ET/4:00 am CT (1 hour) EARLY MORNING

Friday, March 9 at 3:40 ET/2:40 pm CT (1 hour)

*KET will add more airings later in March and early April. These dates will be announced in the DAC email and CLEM as soon as they are set.

You may access the materials for the Spring Cluster Leader training at the following link:

http://www.education.ky.gov/KDE/Instructional+Resour ces/High+School/English+Language+Arts/Writing/defau lt.htm